### **2006 HOME CHDO MATRIX**

NAME OF	COUNTY	Е	REQ.	REQ.	TOTAL	#	ACTIVITY		CAPABIL	ITY	NEED	NOT	DISASTR	INNOV	TTL	RUNNING	
APPLICANT		M	PROGRAM	OPERATING		OF		PROG	ORG	TTL		SERV	AREA		SCORE	TOTAL	
		W		EXPENSES		НН		DESG	CAPACITY	SCORE						PRGM \$	\$2,479,230.00
Buffalo Valley	Maury	M	\$417,150	\$31,398	\$448,548	5	NC/Hmownershp	22	24	46	35	50	0	2	133	\$417,150	\$2,062,080.00
						4	NC/Rental										
Affordable Housing CDC	Hardeman	W	\$467,300	\$32,700	\$500,000	2	NC/Hmownershp	23	23	46	38	24	0	2	110	\$884,450	\$1,594,780.00
Hope of Martin CDC	Weakley	W	\$443,766	\$31,064	\$474,830	6	NC/Rental	21	19	40	36	29	0	3	108	\$1,328,216	\$1,151,014.00
Volunteer Housing Development Corp.	Overton	M	\$327,910	\$0	\$327,910	11	NC/Rental	25	23	48	32	24	0	2	106	\$1,656,126	\$823,104.00
Cumberland Regional Development Corp.	Fentress & Smith	M	\$465,000	\$35,000	\$500,000	9	NC/Rental	24	23	47	29	16	0	8	100	\$2,121,126	\$358,104.00
Tennessee Conference CDC	Bradley, Hamilton & Rhea	Е	\$358,104	\$25,067	\$383,171	15	NC/Hmownershp	19	18	37	29	25	7	1	99	\$2,479,230	\$0.00
Humanitas	Does not meet threshold																
Nashville Drug Court Support Foundation	Does not meet threshold																
TOTAL CHDO- 2006			\$ 2,479,230	\$ 155,229	\$ 2,634,459	46											

## **EXPLANATION OF FUNDING REDUCTION FOR THE CHDO ROUND**

There was \$2,479,230 available for the CHDO round. We were able to fully fund all eligible applicants through Cumberland Regional DC. The Tennessee Conference CDC will receive \$358,104, plus 7% for administration.

### **REVISED 2006 HOME SPECIAL NEEDS MATRIX**

NAME OF	COUNTY	Е	REQ.	REQ.	TOTAL	#	ACTIVITY		CAPA	BILITY	′	NEED	NOT	DISASTR	INNOVAT	TTL	RU	JNNING	
APPLICANT		M	PROGRAM	ADMIN		OF		PROG	ADMN	RELVNT	TTL		SERV	AREAS		SCORE	Т	OTAL	
		W				НН		DESG	TRAING	EXPER	SCORE						Р	RGM \$	\$1,604,191.00
1 Carey Counseling Center	Lake	W	\$ 318,216	\$ 22,275	\$ 340,491	6	Rental N/C	17	20	9	46	36	50	0	4	136	\$	318,216	\$1,285,975.00
2 Jackson Center for Independent Living	Multi-county (5)	W	\$ 209,986	\$ 14,699	\$ 224,685	14	2nds/Hmownershp	20	20	8	48	36	48	0	2	134	\$	528,202	\$1,075,989.00
3 Appalachia Reg Coalition on Homelessness	Multi-county (6)	E	\$ 465,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 500,000	30	2nds/Hmownershp	16	20	8	44	47	26	5	2	124	\$	674,986	\$610,989.00
4 Dawn of Hope Foundation	Carter	E	\$ 465,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 500,000	6	Rental N/C	18	20	7	45	38	24	10	4	121	\$	930,000	\$145,989.00
						3	2nds/Hmownershp												
5 Hilltoppers	Cumberland	M	\$ 230,065	\$ 16,104	\$ 246,169	4	SRO Rental N/C	18	20	10	48	28	30	10	4	120	\$	695,065	(\$84,076.00)
6 Ridgeview Pyschiatric Center	Anderson	Е	\$ 104,993	\$ 7,350	\$ 112,343	7	2nds/Hmownershp	18	18	7	43	41	27	4	4	119	\$	335,058	(\$189,069.00)
7 Advocates for the Challenged Foundation	Rutherford	E&M	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ 500,000	6	Rental Acq/Rehab	16	20	5	41	36	36	0	1	114	\$	604,993	(\$689,069.00)
8 Centerstone Community Mental Health Cntr	Franklin	М	\$ 179,207	\$ 12,544	\$ 191,751	4	Rental N/C	16	19	9	44	34	26	0	4	108	\$	679,207	(\$868,276.00)
9 Charter Group, Inc	Rutherford	М	\$ 463,320	\$ 34,680	\$ 498,000	12	Rental Acq/Rehab	10	10	5	25	34	43	0	2	104	\$	642,527	(\$1,331,596.00)
10 TARP Center for Independent Living	Multi-county (5)	W & M	\$ 104,993	\$ 7,350	\$ 112,343	7	2nds/Hmownershp	20	20	5	45	35	22	0	2	104	\$	568,313	(\$1,436,589.00)
11 Of One Accord Ministry	Hawkins	E	\$ 186,000	\$ 14,000	\$ 200,000	6	HO Rehab	15	18	10	43	32	22	0	1	98	\$	290,993	(\$1,622,589.00)
					\$ -														
Progressive Residential Services of TN																			
TOTAL SPECIAL NEEDS 2006	_		\$ 3,226,780	\$ 199,002	\$ 3,113,439	98													

### **EXPLANATION OF FUNDING REDUCTION FOR THE SPECIAL NEEDS ROUND**

There was \$1,604,191 available for the Special Needs round. We were able to fully fund all eligible applicants through Dawn of Hope. At the July meeting of the Grants Committee, staff will request that funds be shifted from State administration to fully fund projects through Advocates for the Challenged due to an error in the initial scoring.

3:30 PM 6/12/2006

## 2006 HOME MEMPHIS-DELTA REGION MATRIX

NAME OF	COUNTY	Е		REQ.		REQ.	TOTAL	#	ACTIVITY		CAPABIL	.ITY		NEED	NOT	DISASTER	INNOVAT	TTL	RUNNING	
APPLICANT		M	PI	ROGRAM	A	ADMIN		OF		PROG	ADMNSTR	RELEVANT	TTL		SERV	AREAS		SCORE	TOTAL	
		W						НН		DESG	TRAINING	EXPER	SCORE						PRGM \$	\$342,792.00
Halls	Lauderdale	W	\$	171,396	\$	11,998	\$ 183,394	8	HO Rehab	20	20	8	48	39	26	0	4	117	\$ 171,396	\$171,396.00
Gates	Lauderdale	W	\$	171,396	\$	11,998	\$ 183,394	8	HO Rehab	20	20	8	48	39	26	0	4	117	\$ 342,792	\$0.00
TOTAL MEMPH	IIS-DELTA 20	06	\$	342,792	\$	23,996	\$ 366,788	16												

# **EXPLANATION OF FUNDING REDUCTION FOR THE MEMPHIS-DELTA TENNESSEE REGION**

There was \$342,792 allocated to this region. Since there were two applicants tied at 117 points, each will receive \$171,396, plus 7% for administration.

### 2006 HOME NORTHWEST REGION MATRIX

NAME OF	COUNTY	Е		REQ.	I	REQ.	Т	TOTAL	#	ACTIVITY		CAPABIL	.ITY		NEED	NOT	DISASTER	INNOVAT	TTL	RUNNING	
APPLICANT		M	PI	ROGRAM	Α	DMIN			OF		PROG	ADMNSTR	RELEVANT	TTL		SERV	AREAS		SCORE	TOTAL	
		W							НН		DESG	TRAINING	EXPER	SCORE						PRGM \$	\$916,690.00
Lake County	Lake	W	\$	465,000	\$	35,000	\$	500,000	8	HO Rehab	20	20	8	48	45	50	0	4	147	\$ 465,000	451,690.00
Henry	Henry	W	\$	225,845	\$	15,809	\$	241,654	12	HO Rehab	19	20	10	49	36	49	0	4	138	\$ 690,845	225,845.00
Paris	Henry	W	\$	225,845	\$	15,809	\$	241,654	12	HO Rehab	19	20	10	49	36	49	0	4	138	\$ 916,690	0.00
Puryear	Henry	W	\$	465,000	\$	35,000	\$	500,000	8	HO Rehab	20	20	8	48	36	49	0	4	137	\$ 1,381,690	(465,000.00)
Clarksburg	Carroll	W	\$	465,000	\$	35,000	\$	500,000	8	HO Rehab	20	20	8	48	36	20	0	4	108	\$ 1,846,690	(930,000.00)
																			0	\$ 1,846,690	(930,000.00)
																			0	\$ 1,846,690	(930,000.00)
																			0	\$ 1,846,690	(930,000.00)
																			0	\$ 1,846,690	(930,000.00)
																			0	\$ 1,846,690	(930,000.00)
TOTAL NORTHW	EST 2006		\$ 1	,846,690	\$ 1	136,618	\$ 1	,983,308	48												

### **EXPLANATION OF FUNDING REDUCTION FOR THE NORTHWEST TENNESSEE REGION**

There was \$916,690 allocated to this region. Once the Lake County application is fully funded, there is \$451,690 remaining and two applicants tied at 138 points. The City of Henry and the City of Paris will each receive \$225,845, plus 7% for administration.

### 2006 HOME SOUTHWEST REGION MATRIX

NAME OF	COUNTY	Е	REQ.	REQ.	TOTAL	#	ACTIVITY		CAPABIL	.ITY		NEED	NOT	DISASTER	INNOVAT	TTL	RUNNING	
APPLICANT		M	PROGRAM	ADMIN		OF		PROG	ADMNSTR	RELEVANT	TTL		SERV	AREAS		SCORE	TOTAL	
		W				HH		DESG	TRAINING	EXPER	SCORE						PRGM \$	\$651,304.00
1 Lexington	Henderson	W	\$ 232,500	\$ 17,500	\$ 250,000	12	HO Rehab	19	20	10	49	28	50	0	4	131	\$ 232,500	418,804.00
2 Scotts Hill	Henderson	W	\$ 232,500	\$ 17,500	\$ 250,000	12	HO Rehab	19	20	10	49	28	50	0	4	131	\$ 465,000	186,304.00
3 Adamsville	McNairy	W	\$ 186,304	\$ 13,041	\$ 199,345	8	HO Rehab	20	20	8	48	29	44	0	4	125	\$ 651,304	0.00
4 Sardis	Henderson	W	\$ 350,000	\$ 24,500	\$ 374,500	7	HO Rehab	20	13	7	40	28	50	0	3	121	\$ 1,001,304	(350,000.00)
5 Whiteville	Hardeman	W	\$ 409,095	\$ 28,637	\$ 437,732	9	HO Rehab	20	13	7	40	41	24	0	3	108	\$ 1,410,399	(759,095.00)
																		1
TOTAL SOUTHW	EST 2006		\$ 1,410,399	\$ 101,178	\$ 1,511,577	48												

## **EXPLANATION OF FUNDING REDUCTION FOR THE SOUTHWEST TENNESSEE REGION**

There was \$651,304 allocated to this region. Once adjustments were made for the \$500,000 county maximum for the two successful Henderson County applications, we were able to fund the Town of Adamsville at \$186,304, plus 7% for administration.

#### 2006 HOME GREATER NASHVILLE REGION MATRIX

NAME OF	COUNTY	Е	REQ.	REQ.	TOTAL	#	ACTIVITY		CAPABIL	.ITY		NEED	NOT	DISASTR	INNOVAT	TTL	RUNNING	
APPLICANT		M	PROGRAM	ADMIN		OF		PROG	ADMNSTR	RELEVANT	TTL		SERV	AREAS		SCORE	TOTAL	
		W				НН		DESG	TRAINING	EXPER	SCORE						PRGM \$	\$2,087,710.00
	Sumner																	
1 White House	Robertson	M	\$ 385,950	\$ 29,050	\$ 415,000	8	HO Rehab	20	20	8	48	29	36	0	4	117	\$ 385,950	\$1,701,760.00
2 Gallatin	Sumner	M	\$ 153,450	\$ 11,550	\$ 165,000	9	HO Rehab	16	16	8	40	26	47	0	0	113	\$ 539,400	\$1,548,310.00
3 Portland	Sumner	M	\$ 158,100	\$ 11,900	\$ 170,000	9	HO Rehab	16	16	8	40	26	47	0	0	113	\$ 697,500	\$1,390,210.00
4 Eagleville	Rutherford	М	\$ 467,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 500,000	14	HO Rehab	16	16	8	40	25	43	0	0	108	\$ 1,164,500	\$923,210.00
5 White Bluff	Dickson	М	\$ 467,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 500,000	14	HO Rehab	16	16	8	40	31	35	0	0	106	\$ 1,631,500	\$456,210.00
6 Williamson County	Williamson	M	\$ 456,210	\$ 31,935	\$ 488,145	14	HO Rehab	16	16	8	40	26	38	0	0	104	\$ 2,087,710	\$0.00
7 Pleasant View	Cheatham	М	\$ 465,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 500,000	8	HO Rehab	20	20	8	48	28	22	0	4	102	\$ 2,552,710	(\$465,000.00)
8 Lamac Springs	Rutherford	М	\$ 460,350	\$ 34,650	\$ 495,000	10	NC/Rental	10	10	3	23	34	43	0	1	101	\$ 3,013,060	(\$925,350.00)
9 Watertown	Wilson	М	\$ 467,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 500,000	15	HO Rehab	16	16	8	40	28	32	0	0	100	\$ 3,480,060	(\$1,392,350.00)
10 Robertson County	Robertson	М	\$ 465,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 500,000	12	HO Rehab	16	16	8	40	32	25	0	0	97	\$ 3,945,060	(\$1,857,350.00)
					`													
																	•	
TOTAL GREATER	NASHVILLE 20	06	\$ 3,945,060	\$ 288,085	\$ 4,233,145	113										-		

## **EXPLANATION OF FUNDING REDUCTION FOR THE GREATER NASHVILLE REGION**

There was \$2,087,710 allocated to this region. Once funding was adjusted for the \$500,000 county maximum for the multiple successful applicants from Sumner County, we were able to fully fund projects through White Bluff. Williamson County will receive the remaining \$456,210, plus 7% for administration.

# 2006 HOME SOUTH CENTRAL REGION MATRIX

NAME OF	COUNTY	Ε	RE	Q.	F	REQ.	TOT	ΓAL	#	ACTIVITY		CAPABIL	.ITY		NEED	NOT	DISASTER	INNOVAT	TTL	RUNNING	
APPLICANT		M	PRO	OGRAM	Α	NDMIN			OF		PROG	ADMNSTR	RELEVANT	TTL		SERV	AREAS		SCORE	TOTAL	
		W							НН		DESG	TRAINING	EXPER	SCORE						PRGM \$	\$1,281,597.00
1 Maury County	Maury	М	\$ 4	465,000	\$	35,000	\$ !	500,000	15	HO Rehab	20	20	7	47	35	50	0	1	133	\$ 465,000	\$816,597.00
2 Coffee County	Coffee	М	\$	465,000	\$	35,000	\$ !	500,000	15	HO Rehab	20	20	7	47	34	34	0	1	116	\$ 930,000	\$351,597.00
3 Giles County	Giles	М	\$	351,597	\$	24,612	\$ 3	376,209	15	HO Rehab	20	20	7	47	31	25	10	1	114	\$ 1,281,597	\$0.00
4 Lewis County	Lewis	М	\$ 4	465,000	\$	35,000	\$ 5	500,000	15	HO Rehab	20	20	7	47	34	17	0	1	99	\$ 1,746,597	(\$465,000.00)
TOTAL SOUTH C	ENTRAL 2006		\$ 1,7	46,597	\$	129,612	\$ 1,8	76,209	60												

# **EXPLANATION OF FUNDING REDUCTION FOR THE SOUTH CENTRAL TENNESSEE REGION**

There was \$1,281,597 allocated to this region. Once the successful Maury County and Coffee County applications were fully funded, Giles County will receive, \$351,597, plus 7% for administration.

## 2006 HOME UPPER CUMBERLAND REGION MATRIX

NAME OF	COUNTY	Е		REQ.		REQ.	TOTAL	#	ACTIVITY		CAPABI	LITY		NEED	NOT	DISASTER	INNOVAT	TTL	RI	JNNING	
APPLICANT		M		PROGRAM	Α	DMIN		OF		PROG	ADMNSTR	RELEVANT	TTL		SERV	AREAS		SCORE	1	ΓΟΤΑL	
		W						НН		DESG	TRAINING	EXPER	SCORE						P	RGM \$	\$1,129,000.00
Macon County	Macon	М	\$	465,000	\$	35,000	\$ 500,000	16	HO Rehab	20	18	8	46	30	50	0	1	127	\$	465,000	\$664,000.00
Baxter	Putnam	М	\$	332,000	\$	23,240	\$ 355,240	10	HO Rehab	19	20	10	49	30	40	0	2	121	\$	797,000	\$332,000.00
Smithville	DeKalb	М	\$	332,000	\$	23,240	\$ 355,240	10	HO Rehab	19	20	10	49	32	38	0	2	121	\$	1,129,000	\$0.00
Warren County	Warren	М	\$	465,000	\$	35,000	\$ 500,000	16	HO Rehab	20	18	8	46	33	38	0	1	118	\$	1,594,000	(\$465,000.00)
Crossville Housing Authority	Cumberland	М	\$	450,000	\$	31,500	\$ 481,500	20	HO Rehab	18	20	8	46	24	30	10	3	113	\$	2,044,000	(\$915,000.00)
			-																		
			-																		
			-																		
			-																		
					_																
TOTAL UPPER CUMBERL	.AND 2006		\$	2,044,000	\$	147,980	\$ 2,191,980	72	2												

## **EXPLANATION OF FUNDING REDUCTION FOR THE UPPER CUMBERLAND REGION**

There was \$1,129,000 allocated to this region. Once the successful Macon County application was fully funded, there was \$664,000 remaining and two applications tied at 121 points. Baxter and Smithville will each receive \$332,000, plus 7% for administration.

10:18 AM Revised 5/24/2005 5/17/2006

## 2006 HOME FIRST TENNESSEE REGION MATRIX

NAME OF	COUNTY	Е	REQ.	REQ.		TOTAL	#	ACTIVITY		CAPABIL	.ITY		NEED	NOT	DISASTER	INNOVAT	TTL	RUNNING	
APPLICANT		M	PROGRAM	ADMIN			OF		PROG	ADMNSTR	RELEVANT	TTL		SERV	AREAS		SCORE	TOTAL	
		W					HH		DESG	TRAINING	EXPER	SCORE						PRGM \$	\$840,392.00
1 Carter County	Carter	Е	\$ 465,000	\$ 35,000	\$	500,000	15	HO Rehab	20	19	9	48	41	24	10	2	125	\$ 465,000	\$375,392.00
2 Hancock County	Hancock	Е	\$ 375,392	\$ 26,277	7 \$	401,669	15	HO Rehab	20	19	9	48	50	19	0	5	122	\$ 840,392	\$0.00
TOTAL FIRST T	l 2006		\$ 840,392	\$ 61,277	' \$	901,669	30												

# **EXPLANATION OF FUNDING REDUCTION FOR THE FIRST TENNESSEE REGION**

There was \$840,392 allocated to this region. We are able to fully fund the application from Carter County; Hancock County will receive the remaining \$375,392, plus 7% for administration.

### 2006 HOME EAST TENNESSEE REGION MATRIX

NAME OF	COUNTY	Е		REQ.	REQ.	TC	TAL	#	ACTIVITY		CAPABIL	.ITY		NEED	NOT	DISASTER	INNOVAT	TTL	RUNNING	
APPLICANT		M		PROGRAM	ADMIN			OF		PROG	ADMNSTR	RELEVANT	TTL		SERV	AREAS		SCORE	TOTAL	
		W						НН		DESG	TRAINING	EXPER	SCORE						PRGM \$	\$2,495,742.00
Lenoir City	Loudon	Е	\$	199,950	\$ 15,050	\$	215,000		HO Rehab	20	19	10	49	36	50	0	1	136	\$ 199,950	\$2,295,792.00
Loudon	Loudon	Е	\$	199,950	\$ 15,050	\$	215,000	12	HO Rehab	20	19	10	49	36	50	0	1	136	\$ 399,900	\$2,095,842.00
Loudon County Habitat for Humanity	Loudon	Е	\$	65,100	\$ 4,900	\$	70,000	10	2nds/Hmownshp	19	18	5	42	36	50	0	3	131	\$ 465,000	\$2,030,742.00
Clinton	Anderson	Е	\$	465,000	\$ 35,000	\$	500,000	20	HO Rehab	20	19	10	49	43	35	0	1	128	\$ 930,000	\$1,565,742.00
Sevier County	Sevier	Е	\$	232,500	\$ 17,500	\$	250,000	8	HO Rehab	20	20	8	48	23	50	0	4	125	\$ 1,162,500	\$1,333,242.00
Newport	Cocke	Е	\$	465,000	\$ 35,000	\$	500,000	8	HO Rehab	20	20	8	48	38	23	10	4	123	\$ 1,627,500	\$868,242.00
Sevierville	Sevier	Е	\$	232,500	\$ 17,500	\$	250,000	13	HO Rehab	20	19	10	49	23	50	0	1	123	\$ 1,860,000	\$635,742.00
Campbell County	Campbell	Е	\$	465,000	\$ 35,000	\$	500,000	20	HO Rehab	20	19	10	49	42	18	10	1	120	\$ 2,325,000	\$170,742.00
Hamblen County	Hamblen	Е	\$	170,742	\$ 11,952	\$	182,694	20	HO Rehab	20	13	8	41	33	42	0	2	118	\$ 2,495,742	\$0.00
Blount Co. Habitat for Humanity	Blount	Е	\$	224,985	\$ 15,749	\$	240,734	15	2nds/Hmownshp	19	20	10	49	33	33	0	2	117	\$ 2,720,727	(\$224,985.00)
Blount Co. CAA	Blount	Е	\$	248,775	\$ 18,725	\$	267,500	10	HO Rehab	20	18	8	46	33	33	0	1	113	\$ 2,969,502	(\$473,760.00)
Claiborne County	Claiborne	Е	\$	465,000	\$ 35,000	\$	500,000	20	HO Rehab	20	19	10	49	37	21	0	1	108	\$ 3,434,502	(\$938,760.00)
Appalachia Habitat for Humanity	Scott & Morgan	Е	\$	158,982	\$ 20,958	\$	179,940	12	HO Rehab	20	20	5	45	38	22	0	2	107	\$ 3,593,484	(\$1,097,742.00)
New Market	Jefferson	Е	\$	465,000	\$ 35,000	\$	500,000	14	HO Rehab	20	19	10	49	31	25	0	1	106	\$ 4,058,484	(\$1,562,742.00)
Morgan County	Morgan	Е	\$	465,000	\$ 35,000	\$	500,000	20	HO Rehab	20	13	8	41	38	23	0	2	104	\$ 4,523,484	(\$2,027,742.00)
Harrogate	Claiborne	Е	\$	465,000	\$ 35,000	\$	500,000	19	HO Rehab	20	13	8	41	37	21	0	2	101	, , , , , ,	(\$2,492,742.00)
7 Sweetwater	Monroe	Е	\$	465,000	\$ 35,000	\$	500,000	20	HO Rehab	20	13	8	41	27	31	0	2	-	\$ 5,453,484	(\$2,957,742.00)
Scott County	Scott	E	\$	465,000	\$ 35,000	\$	500,000	18	HO Rehab	20	13	8	41	37	20	0	2	100	\$ 5,918,484	(\$3,422,742.00)
ADFAC	Ineligible-Did not me	eet spend	dowi	n																
Cocke County	Ineligible-Did not me																			
	Ineligible-Did not me																			
ETHRA	Ineligible-Did not me			n																
TOTAL EAST TN 2006			\$	5.918.484	\$ 452.384	\$ 6.	370.868	259												

#### **EXPLANATION OF FUNDING REDUCTION FOR THE EAST TENNESSEE REGION**

There was \$2,495,742 allocated to this region. Once funding was adjusted for the \$500,000 county maximum for the multiple successful applicants from Loudon and Sevier counties, we were able to fully fund projects through Campbell County. Hamblen County will receive the remaining \$170,742, plus 7% for administration.

### 2006 HOME SOUTHEAST REGION MATRIX

NAME OF	COUNTY	Е	R	EQ.	REC	Q.	TOTAL	#	ACTIVITY		CAPABIL	.ITY		NEED	NOT	DISASTER	INNOVAT	TTL	RUNNING	
APPLICANT		M	PR	OGRAM	ADM	IIN		OF		PROG	ADMNSTR	RELEVANT	TTL	Ī	SERV	AREAS		SCORE	TOTAL	
		W						НН		DESG	TRAINING	EXPER	SCORE						PRGM \$	\$1,312,560.00
Sequatchie County	Sequatchie	Е	\$	232,500	\$ 1	17,500	\$ 250,000	12	HO Rehab	19	20	9	48	30	50	0	0	128	\$ 232,500	\$1,080,060.00
Dunlap	Sequatchie	Е	\$	232,500	\$ 1	17,500	\$ 250,000	12	HO Rehab	19	20	9	48	30	50	0	0	128	\$ 465,000	\$847,560.00
Grundy County	Grundy	Е	\$	465,000	\$ 3	35,000	\$ 500,000	12	HO Rehab	19	20	9	48	41	22	10	0	121	\$ 930,000	\$382,560.00
Etowah	McMinn	Е	\$	127,520	\$	8,926	\$ 136,446	12	HO Rehab	19	20	9	48	36	34	0	0	118	\$ 1,057,520	\$255,040.00
Athens	McMinn	Е	\$	127,520	\$	8,926	\$ 136,446	12	HO Rehab	19	20	9	48	36	34	0	0	118	\$ 1,185,040	\$127,520.00
McMinn County	McMinn	Е	\$	127,520	\$	8,926	\$ 136,446	12	HO Rehab	19	20	9	48	36	34	0	0	118	\$ 1,312,560	\$0.00
TOTAL SOUTHEAST	ΓTN 2006		\$ 1,	312,560	\$ 9	6,778	\$ 1,409,338	72												

### **EXPLANATION OF FUNDING REDUCTION FOR THE SOUTHEAST TENNESSEE REGION**

There was \$1,312,59 allocated to this region. Once adjustments were made for the \$500,000 county maximum for the two successful Sequatchie County applications, we were able to fully fund Grundy County. The remaining \$382,560 was divided among the three McMinn County applications tied at 118 points, plus 7% for administration.